Message

From: Hoogerheide, Roger [Hoogerheide.Roger@epa.gov]

Sent: 3/17/2016 5:34:28 PM

To: Lim, Dianna [Lim.Dianna@epa.gov]

CC: Sparks, Sara [sparks.sara@epa.gov]; Cirian, Mike [Cirian.Mike@epa.gov]; Quick, Danette [Quick.Danette@epa.gov];

Vranka, Joe [vranka.joe@epa.gov]

Subject: FW: Smurfit-Stone Mill Frenchtown/Anaconda Aluminum

Yes, if both RPMs are in agreement that a Conceptual Site Model is able to be developed by 12/31/2017 which provide the exposure pathways by your proposed date and you are OK that the determination that will be made on that date is that Human Exposure is not Under Control

From: Lim, Dianna

Sent: Thursday, March 17, 2016 9:22 AM

To: Hoogerheide, Roger < Hoogerheide. Roger@epa.gov>

Cc: Sparks, Sara <sparks.sara@epa.gov>; Cirian, Mike <Cirian.Mike@epa.gov>; Quick, Danette

<Quick.Danette@epa.gov>; Vranka, Joe <vranka.joe@epa.gov> Subject: RE: Smurfit-Stone Mill Frenchtown/Anaconda Aluminum

Does it make more sense to set the "Estimated Date for Sufficient Information to Make a HE Determination" on 12/31/2017 rather than 2021 or 2022?

Thanks Dianna

From: Hoogerheide, Roger

Sent: Wednesday, March 16, 2016 11:54 AM **To:** Lim, Dianna < <u>Lim.Dianna@epa.gov</u>>

Cc: Sparks, Sara <sparks.sara@epa.gov>; Cirian, Mike <Cirian.Mike@epa.gov>; Quick, Danette

<<u>Quick.Danette@epa.gov</u>>; Vranka, Joe <<u>vranka.joe@epa.gov</u>> **Subject:** FW: Smurfit-Stone Mill Frenchtown/Anaconda Aluminum

Dianna,

I have run the following language by both Mike and Sara and they are OK to include this as the pathway description until a Conceptual Site Model has been developed for both sites.

An Administrative Order on Consent for RI/FS was recently signed. Field investigations are planned for 2016. Once data has been collected and validated, a conceptual site model will be developed which will show all the human exposure pathways. This is anticipated to be developed in 2017.

From: Sparks, Sara

Sent: Wednesday, March 16, 2016 11:48 AM

To: Hoogerheide, Roger < Hoogerheide. Roger@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: Smurfit-Stone Mill Frenchtown

This looks good to me. Thanks Roger.

From: Hoogerheide, Roger

Sent: Tuesday, March 15, 2016 4:33 PM **To:** Sparks, Sara <<u>sparks.sara@epa.gov</u>> **Subject:** FW: Smurfit-Stone Mill Frenchtown

This came up for Columbia Falls too. This is the language we proposed:

An Administrative Order on Consent for RI/FS was recently signed. Field investigations are planned for 2016. Once data has been collected and validated, a conceptual site model will be developed which will show all the human exposure pathways. This is anticipated to be developed in 2017.

Let me know if you are Ok with this language.

From: Lim, Dianna

Sent: Tuesday, March 15, 2016 3:42 PM

To: Vranka, Joe <vranka.joe@epa.gov>; Hoogerheide, Roger <Hoogerheide.Roger@epa.gov>

Subject: FW: Smurfit-Stone Mill Frenchtown

Hi,

I send an email to Sara asking for the pathway description as well. If you want to provide similar information as Columbia Falls that would work too.

Thanks Dianna

From: Lim, Dianna

Sent: Tuesday, March 15, 2016 9:46 AM **To:** Sparks, Sara <<u>sparks.sara@epa.gov</u>> **Subject:** Smurfit-Stone Mill Frenchtown

Hi Sara,

I received the update you provided on the Long-Term Human Health Protection Worksheet for Smurfit-Stone site. Since the status for this site is Insufficient Data to Determine Human Exposure Control with the estimated determination date of 12/31/2021 SEMS required us to enter the exposure pathway description. Would you please provide me the description to enter in SEMS?

Thanks Dianna